

REMARKS

After entry of this amendment, claims 11, 13 and 14 are pending in the application. Claim 11 has been amended. Claim 12 has been canceled. Reconsideration of the application as amended is requested.

In the Office Action dated June 3, 2005, claims 11 and 14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crisman, U.S. Pat. No. 5,622,210, in view of Samson, U.S. Pat. No. 5,683,410. Claim 13 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crisman, in view of Samson, and further in view of Noone, U.S. Pat. No. 5,524,673. Claim 12 stands objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if re-written in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 has been amended to include the feature previously recited in claim 12. It is submitted that this amendment traverses the objection to claim 12 and places the claim 11 in suitable condition for allowance. Claims 13 and 14 depend from claim 11 and are therefore also in suitable condition for allowance. Reconsideration of the Examiner's objection is requested.

It is respectfully submitted that this Amendment traverses and overcomes all of the Examiner's rejections to the Application as originally filed.

Respectfully submitted,

HOWARD & HOWARD ATTORNEYS

July 26, 2005



Raymond C. Meiers, Registration No. 51,081
Howard and Howard Attorneys, P.C.
The Pinehurst Office Center, Suite 101
39400 Woodward Ave.
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48304-5151
(248) 723-0417